

6 Mar, 2023

Vol. 33, No. 11

For Additional Information:

Dr. John Christy, (256) 961-7763  
christy@nsstc.uah.edu

Dr. Roy Spencer, (256) 961-7960  
spencer@nsstc.uah.edu

## **Global Temperature Report: Feb 2023**

Global climate trend since Dec. 1 1978: +0.13 C per decade

### **February Temperatures (preliminary)**

Global composite temp: +0.08 C (+0.14°F) above the seasonal average

Northern Hemisphere: +0.17 C (+0.31 °F) above seasonal average

Southern Hemisphere: -0.00 C (-0.00 °F) at seasonal average

Tropics: -0.11 °C (-0.20°F) below seasonal average

### **January Temperatures (final)**

Global composite temp: -0.04 C (-0.07°F) below the seasonal average

Northern Hemisphere: +0.05 C (+0.09 °F) above seasonal average

Southern Hemisphere: -0.14 C (-0.25 °F) below seasonal average

Tropics: -0.38 °C (-0.68°F) below seasonal average

### **Notes on data released March 3, 2023 (v6.0, with 1991-2020 reference base)**

The global atmospheric temperature in February increased slightly above the 30-year average to +0.08 °C (+0.14°F). The tropics remained somewhat cool but were warmer relative to January's value. Warming of most land areas and of the tropical oceans helped push the global average temperature higher. We are likely seeing the demise of the 3-year La Niña with this warming.

One key indicator of El Niño/La Niña status is the tropical ocean heat content which has now risen to above-average with considerably warmer-than-usual water around the 150m depth in the western tropical Pacific. The latest on the evolution of La Niña and its anticipated diminishment in 2023 is provided by NOAA here:

[https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/lanina/enso\\_evolution-status-fcsts-web.pdf](https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/lanina/enso_evolution-status-fcsts-web.pdf).

The planet's warmest spot in February occurred over the North Pacific Ocean with a departure from average of +4.0 °C (+7.1 °F). A typical pattern of alternating warm and cool regions was evident with the warm regions residing over the Eastern US, Northern Europe and NW Russia, and Pakistan/SE China.

With a reading of -3.4°C (-6.1°F) the coolest departure from average could be found over Northern Quebec, Canada. It was also cooler than average from the subtropical Pacific near Hawaii northeastward to the Western US and basically all of Canada, then westward from Canada across Alaska and eastern Russia. Cooler-than-average areas also appeared in the SE North Atlantic, and far South Pacific Ocean.

The conterminous US was above average for February (+0.68 °C, +1.22 °F). This is another case where the average doesn't represent the situation on the ground as the western states were quite cool and the eastern states were warmer than average (see map). However, as mentioned, Alaska was colder than average, so adding in Alaska, the 49-state average fell to +0.36 °C (+0.65°F). [We don't include Hawaii in the US results because its land area is less than that of a satellite grid square, so it would have virtually no impact on the overall national results.]

**New Reference Base Jan 2021 and forward.** As noted in the Jan 2021 GTR, the situation comes around every 10 years when the reference period or "30-year normal" that we use to calculate the departures is redefined. With that, we have averaged the absolute temperatures over the period 1991-2020, in accordance with the World Meteorological Organization's guidelines, and use this as the new base period. This allows the anomalies to relate more closely to the experience of the average person, i.e. the climate of the last 30 years. Due to the rising trend of global and regional temperatures, the new normals are a little warmer than before, i.e. the global average temperature for Januaries for 1991-2020 is 0.14 °C warmer than the average for Januaries during 1981-2010. So, the new departures from this now warmer average will appear to be cooler, but this is an artifact of simply applying a new base period. It is important to remember that changes over time periods, such as a trend value or the relative difference of one year to the next, will not change. Think about it this way, all we've done is to take the *entire* time series and shifted it down a little.

**To-Do List:** There has been a delay in our ability to utilize and merge the new generation of microwave sensors (ATMS) on the NPP and JPSS satellites. As of now, the calibration equations applied by the agency have changed at least twice, so that the data stream contains inhomogeneities which obviously impact the type of measurements we seek. We are hoping this is resolved soon with a dataset that is built with a single, consistent set of calibration equations. In addition, the current non-drifting satellite operated by the Europeans, MetOP-B, has not yet been adjusted or “neutralized” for its seasonal peculiarities related to its unique equatorial crossing time (0930). While these MetOP-B peculiarities do not affect the long-term global trend, they do introduce error within a particular year in specific locations over land.

As part of an ongoing joint project between UAH, NOAA and NASA, Christy and Dr. Roy Spencer, an ESSC principal scientist, use data gathered by advanced microwave sounding units on NOAA, NASA and European satellites to produce temperature readings for almost all regions of the Earth. This includes remote desert, ocean and rain forest areas where reliable climate data are not otherwise available. Drs. Danny Braswell and Rob Junod assist in the preparation of these reports.

The satellite-based instruments measure the temperature of the atmosphere from the surface up to an altitude of about eight kilometers above sea level. Once the monthly temperature data are collected and processed, they are placed in a "public" computer file for immediate access by atmospheric scientists in the U.S. and abroad.

The complete version 6 lower troposphere dataset is available here:

[http://www.nsstc.uah.edu/data/msu/v6.0/tlt/uahncdc\\_lt\\_6.0.txt](http://www.nsstc.uah.edu/data/msu/v6.0/tlt/uahncdc_lt_6.0.txt)

Archived color maps of local temperature anomalies are available on-line at:

<http://nsstc.uah.edu/climate/>

Neither Christy nor Spencer receives any research support or funding from oil, coal or industrial companies or organizations, or from any private or special interest groups. All of their climate research funding comes from federal and state grants or contracts.




Figure. Lower tropospheric temperature anomalies for February 2023




Figure. Bar chart of global monthly lower tropospheric temperature anomalies.